UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/458,998	12/10/1999	NORMAN JAMES MOORE		9562
75	90 12/12/2006		EXAMINER	
MARY HELE	EN SEARS			<u> </u>
THE M H SEA	RS LAW FIRM CHAP	RTERED		
910 SEVENTEENTH STREET NW SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N DC 20006			

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. MOORE ET AL. Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief 09/458,998 (37 CFR 41.37) Art Unit Examiner 1645 Ja-Na Hines

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 23.March 2004 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

1205.0	oid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. NSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1. 🔀	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🔲	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function unde 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	The arguments section fails to set forth what claims are being rejected. Each ground of rejection must be treated under a separate heading. "Whether claims 1 and 2 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, as being based on a nonenabling disclosure" would comply with the rule. The statement cannot include any argument concerning the merits of the ground of rejection presented for review. For example, if Claims 1 to 5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112,

first paragraph and appellant is only going to argue the limitations of independent claim 1, and thereby group dependent claims 2 to 5 to stand or fall with dependent claim 1, then one possible heading as required by this subsection could be "Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph and the optional subheading would be "Claims 1 to 5.".

> MARK NAVARRO PRIMARY EXAMINER